

Manuscript Number:

Title: INFLUENCE OF FLOW DISTRIBUTION ON THE THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF DUAL-MEDIA THERMOCLINE ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS

Article Type: Original Paper

Keywords: Thermal energy storage; thermocline; dual-media; flow distribution; entropy analysis

Corresponding Author: Professor Zhen Yang,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Letian Wang

Order of Authors: Letian Wang; Zhen Yang; Yuanyuan Duan

Abstract: Dual-media molten-salt thermocline thermal energy storage (TES) systems can be used to maintain constant power production from Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) plants independent of weather changes at less cost than traditional two-tank molten-salt storage systems. The flow distribution is a critical parameter affecting the thermal performance but has rarely been considered for dual-media TES systems in previous studies. This study analyzes the influence of the flow distribution at the inlet and outlet of a salt-rock dual-media thermocline TES tank on the thermal performance. The flow distribution is characterized by the radial and azimuthal components with a two-temperature model used to investigate the thermal performance of the thermocline tank. The model is first validated against experiment data in the literature and then used to study the discharge process of the thermocline thermal storage tank for various flow distributions. The results show that even with a large (80% area) flow blockage at the inlet, the flow distribution has limited influence on the useable energy output (<3%) of the dual-media storage tank. In fact, the flow non-uniformity reduces the thickness of the thermocline layer and slightly increases the useable energy output while that at the top outlet slightly decreases the output. An entropy generation analysis, including the effects of the diffusion and interstitial heat transfer, is given to further explain these phenomena. The interstitial heat transfer is found the main cause for the entropy generation in discharge. Flow non-uniformity reduces the entropy generation.



Zhen Yang
Associate Professor
Department of Thermal Engineering
Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084, China
Email: zhenyang@tsinghua.edu.cn
Tel/Fax: +86 10 6278 9751

Tuesday, 22 October 2013

Prof. J. Yan
Editor, Applied Energy
KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, and Mälardalen University, Västerås,
Sweden

Dear Prof. Yan:

Enclosed please find our manuscript entitled “INFLUENCE OF FLOW DISTRIBUTION ON THE THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF DUAL-MEDIA THERMOCLINE ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS” by Letian Wang, Zhen Yang and Yuanyuan Duan, submitted for possible publication in *Applied Energy*. This paper contains original results, and has not been published or submitted for publication elsewhere.

According to your advices to our last submission, two major improvements have been made as follows.

1. The novelty/originality of the work has now been strengthened in the abstract, introduction and conclusions of the paper, which is also listed as follows.

Effects of flow distribution on the discharge performance are first studied for dual-media molten-salt thermocline thermal energy storage tanks. Different from single-media storage, non-uniform flow does not reduce but slightly increases the useable energy output in dual-media storage. Non-uniform flow enhances heat transfer in the tank, thins the thermocline layer and reduces the entropy generation in discharge.

2. The language has been revised by an English native speaker.

We appreciated your kind advices on our work. Please feel free to contact me if I can provide any additional information. Thank you very much for your consideration of our manuscript.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Zhen Yang', is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Zhen Yang
Associate Professor
Department of Thermal Engineering, Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084, China

Highlights

1. Effects of flow distribution are studied for molten-salt thermocline TES tanks
2. Non-uniform flow slightly increases the useable energy output in discharge
3. Non-uniform flow enhances heat transfer and thins the thermocline layer
4. The interstitial heat transfer causes most of the entropy generation in discharge
5. Non-uniform flow reduces the entropy generation in discharge.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

INFLUENCE OF FLOW DISTRIBUTION ON THE THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF DUAL-MEDIA THERMOCLINE ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEMS

Letian Wang, Zhen Yang * and Yuanyuan Duan **

Key Laboratory for Thermal Science and Power Engineering of Ministry of Education
Beijing Key Laboratory for CO₂ Utilization and Reduction Technology,
Department of Thermal Engineering, Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084 China

Corresponding Authors:

* Zhen Yang: +86 10 6278 9751 (Tel/Fax), zhenyang@tsinghua.edu.cn (Email)

** Yuanyuan Duan: +86 10 6279 6381 (Tel/Fax), yyduan@tsinghua.edu.cn (Email)

Abstract

Dual-media molten-salt thermocline thermal energy storage (TES) systems can be used to maintain constant power production from Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) plants independent of weather changes at less cost than traditional two-tank molten-salt storage systems. The flow distribution is a critical parameter affecting the single-media thermocline thermal performance but has rarely been considered for dual-media TES

23 systems in previous studies. This study analyzes the influence of the flow distribution at
24 the inlet and outlet of a salt-rock dual-media thermocline TES tank on the thermal
25 performance. The flow distribution is characterized by the radial and azimuthal
26 components with a two-temperature model used to investigate the thermal performance of
27 the thermocline tank. The model is first validated against experiment data in the literature
28 and then used to study the discharge process of the thermocline thermal storage tank for
29 various flow distributions. The results show that even with a large (80% area) flow
30 blockage at the inlet, the flow distribution has limited influence on the useable energy
31 output (<3%) of the dual-media storage tank. In fact, the flow non-uniformity reduces the
32 thickness of the thermocline layer and slightly increases the useable energy output while
33 that at the top outlet slightly decreases the output. An entropy generation analysis,
34 including the effects of the diffusion and interstitial heat transfer, is given to further
35 explain these phenomena. The interstitial heat transfer is found the main cause for the
36 entropy generation in discharge. Flow non-uniformity reduces the entropy generation.

37

38 **Keywords**

39 Thermal energy storage, thermocline, dual-media, flow distribution, entropy analysis

40

41

42 Nomenclature

43 C_p specific heat [J/kg-K]

44 d thermocline tank diameter [m]

45 d_s filler particle diameter [m]

46 F inertial coefficient, $F = \frac{1.75}{\sqrt{150\varepsilon^3}}$

47 g gravity [m/s^2]

48 h thermocline tank height [m]

49 h_{in} interstitial heat transfer coefficient [$\text{W/m}^3 \cdot \text{K}$]

50 h_{conv} wall heat transfer coefficient [$\text{W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$]

51 K permeability, $K = \frac{d_s^2 \varepsilon^3}{175(1-\varepsilon)^2}$ [m^2]

52 k thermal conductivity [W/m-K]

53 P_{CSP} concentrated solar power plant power [W]

54 Q_v volumetric flow rate of molten-salt [m^3/s]

55 η_p thermal to electricity conversion efficiency

56 A_f flow area at tank boundary

57 p pressure [Pa]

58 T temperature [K]

59 t time[s]

60 x tank axial coordinate [m]

61 y tank radial coordinate [m]

62 \mathbf{u} velocity vector [m/s]

63 Δh thermocline thickness

64

65 *Greek*

66 ε porosity [-]

67 η efficiency [-]

68 Θ non-dimensional temperature, $\Theta = \frac{T - T_C}{T_H - T_C}$ [-]

69 μ viscosity [Pa-s]

70 ν kinematic viscosity [m²/s]

71 ρ density [kg/m³]

72

73 *Non-dimensional variables*

74 $\text{Re} = \frac{u_m d_s}{\nu_c}$
Re Reynolds number

75 $\text{Nu}_i = \frac{h_i d_s^2}{k_{l,c}}$
 Nu_i Interstitial Nusselt number

76 $\text{Pr} = \frac{\mu C_{p,l}}{k_l}$
Pr Prandtl number

77 $\text{Nu}_w = \frac{h_i D}{\lambda_{\text{air}}}$
 Nu_w Wall Nusselt number

78

79 *Subscripts*

80 C cold end of the tank

81 H hot end of the tank

82 l molten salt phase

83 s solid filler phase

84 I case number

85 b tank bottom

86 t tank top

87

88 **1 Introduction**

89 Molten salts are viable candidates for high-temperature ($>400^{\circ}\text{C}$) heat transfer fluids
90 (HTFs) in Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) plants due to their lower costs and operating
91 pressures relative to current high-temperature oils. Molten salts have stable heat transfer
92 properties and their heat transfer characteristics can be well predicted by experimentally
93 verified correlations [1-3]. One major disadvantage of molten salts is their relatively high
94 solidification temperatures, which causes difficulties when filling the salts into the heat
95 transfer loops. As a hot molten salt flows into a cold tube, the salt first cools in the region
96 close to the tube wall, then solidifies and is later re-melted by fresh hot salt [4]. The air-
97 salt interface first quickly increases, then fluctuates and finally becomes stable [5]. The
98 salt solidification greatly increases the flow pressure loss and extends the filling time [6].
99 Preheating of the tubes may be necessary to eliminate the risk of tube blockage when
100 filling tubes with molten salts.

101 Molten-salt thermal energy storage (TES) systems have been widely used in
102 Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) plants [7] to produce electricity independent of the
103 weather conditions. Of the various TES technologies, the dual-media molten-salt
104 thermocline TES has been recognized as a promising approach due to its relatively higher
105 energy efficiency and lower cost [8]. A dual-media molten-salt thermocline TES system is
106 primarily a tank filled with a filler material (rock and sand) as the main storage medium
107 with a molten-salt HTF in the pores between the filler particles.

108 The flow and heat transfer characteristics has been carefully studied to have a better
109 understanding of the thermal performance of dual-media molten-salt thermocline TES

110 systems. Yang and Garimella [9] developed a two-temperature numerical model of a
111 thermocline TES system with the heat transfer between the salt and the filler particles
112 represented by an interstitial Nusselt number. They also studied the effects of the thermal
113 conditions at the tank wall and found that a non-adiabatic wall only slightly reduced the
114 efficiency for wall Nusselt numbers smaller than 10^4 [10]. Later, Flueckiger *et al.* [11]
115 investigated the thermal and mechanical performance of a discharging thermocline tank;
116 and the mechanical stress caused by thermal ratcheting was found to be well predicted by
117 a simplified one-dimensional model using Hook's Law. Xu *et al.* [12] presented a
118 parametric study of a molten salt thermocline TES system showing that the mean inlet
119 velocity had negligible effect on the discharge efficiency and thermocline thickness, with
120 only a slight increase in the efficiency, and suggested the reason to be a trade-off between
121 the two counteracting effects of the increasing velocity which increased the thermocline
122 thickness due to less heat transfer time between the solid and liquid, and less time for
123 conduction within the particles which reduced the thermocline thickness. Yang and
124 Garimella [13] studied the cyclic operation of molten-salt thermocline tanks and found
125 that for a specific power cycle, an increase in the tank height increased the thermal
126 efficiency. Recently, Flueckiger and Garimella [14] reviewed the numerical studies of
127 thermocline storage systems.

128 All these studies used a uniform flow velocity in the thermocline tank with no
129 consideration of the flow distribution effects. Consequently, the flow distribution effect is
130 not clearly understood. However, flow distribution is a concern in practical TES systems.
131 For instance, the flow enters a storage tank through a distribution system as shown in Fig.
132 1 with multiple manifolds. The flow rates in these manifolds may differ due to different

133 flow distances and pressure losses, resulting in non-uniform flow across the diffuser. In
134 addition, the manifolds may leak or be blocked during operation, leading to flow rate
135 variations across the diffuser.

136 Flow distribution has been found to be important in water (single-medium) thermal
137 storage systems [17-22]. A recent experimental study [23] on hot water solar energy
138 storage validated that the inlet flow distribution could lead to a change of 40% in the
139 effective discharge efficiency. Therefore, flow distribution may also be important to dual-
140 media thermocline TES systems and needs to be carefully considered. Till now, few
141 studies have been found on the influence of the flow distribution on the thermal
142 performance of dual-media thermocline TES systems.

143 This study aims to investigate the influence of the flow distributions at the bottom and
144 top of a thermocline TES tank on the thermal performance. The flow distribution is
145 characterized by radial and azimuthal components with the influence of each component
146 analyzed in this study. A two-temperature model is used to investigate the effects of the
147 flow distribution on the discharge process of the thermocline tank. An entropy generation
148 analysis is given to include the effects of the diffusion and interstitial heat transfer on the
149 energy degradation in the tank.

150

151 **2 Numerical model**

152 **2.1 Problem description**

153 A schematic illustration of a TES thermocline tank is shown in Fig. 2. The tank is
154 packed with quartzite rock with a molten salt filling the pores between the rock particles.
155 Initially, the rock and the molten salt are at 450 °C[9] to provide thermal energy in the
156 tank for later power generation. The thermal energy is discharged from the tank by
157 pumping cold molten salt into the tank through the bottom. As the cold salt flows upward
158 through the tank, it is heated by the hot rock to high temperatures suitable for high-
159 efficiency electricity generation when released from the top of the tank. The hot molten
160 salt is then used to generate steam in a Rankine cycle. This study focus on the discharge
161 process of the thermocline TES with non-uniform flow.

162 The thermocline tank has an inner diameter of d and a height of h , and is packed
163 with quartzite rock as the filler. In this study, d is set to 36 m and h to 12 m, which are
164 similar with the tank ($d=37.2$ m, $h=10.4$ m) being built in the Solana Generating Station,
165 USA, as well as the one operating in the Andasol Solar Power Station, Spain ($d=36$ m,
166 $h=14$ m) [25-27]. A feasibility study for the Barstow area determined that the tank height
167 should be limited by the ground conditions in that region to 11.9 m [28]. Thus, the tank
168 height used in this study is set to 12 m as representative of most designs with the tank
169 wall assumed to be thermally adiabatic as in previous studies [10, 12].

170 The molten salt chosen here is HITEC [29]. The lower temperature, T_L , of the
171 molten salt during operation is set to 200°C which is greater than the HITEC salt melting

172 point (142°C) with the high temperature, T_H , set to 450°C to give a high Rankine cycle
 173 efficiency (>40%). The physical properties of HITEC are calculated using the following
 174 correlations [9]:

$$175 \quad \rho_l = 1938.0 - 0.732(T_l - 200.0) \quad (1)$$

$$176 \quad \mu = \exp[-4.343 - 2.0143(\ln T_l - 5.011)] \quad (2)$$

$$177 \quad k_l = -6.53 \times 10^{-4}(T_l - 260.0) + 0.421 \quad (3)$$

178 The specific heat of HITEC is 1561.7 J·kg⁻¹K⁻¹. Quartzite rock is used as the filler
 179 with a density of 2201 kg·m⁻³ and specific heat of 964 J·kg⁻¹ K⁻¹. The porosity, ε , of the
 180 packed rock bed is 0.22 and the average rock particle size is 0.05 m [10].

181 2.2 Governing equations

182 The continuity and momentum equations for laminar flow of the molten salt in the
 183 tank are:

$$184 \quad \frac{\partial(\varphi \rho_l)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_l \mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$185 \quad \frac{\partial(\rho_l \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_l \frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}}{\varepsilon} \right) = -\varepsilon \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}} + \varphi_l \mathbf{g} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{\mu}{K} \mathbf{u} + \frac{F}{\sqrt{K}} \rho_l |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u} \right) \quad (5)$$

186 Since the molten salt and the rock bed may not be in thermal equilibrium due to their
 187 different thermal properties, heat is transferred between them with separate energy
 188 equations used for each phase [9]. For the molten salt, the energy equation is:

$$189 \quad \frac{\partial[\varphi_l C_{p,l}(T_l - T_c)]}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho_l \mathbf{u} C_{p,l}(T_l - T_c)] = \nabla \cdot (k_e \nabla T_l) + h_i(T_s - T_l) \quad (6)$$

190 For the rock bed, the energy equation is:

$$191 \quad \frac{\partial[(1-\varepsilon)\rho_s C_{p,s}(T_s - T_c)]}{\partial t} = -h_i(T_s - T_l) \quad (7)$$

192 The last terms on the right sides of the two equations are for the interstitial heat transfer
 193 between the two phases. The viscous dissipation term in the molten salt energy equation
 194 is neglected due to its negligible influence as indicated by small non-dimensional number
 195 N defined by Nield [30].

$$196 \quad N = Ec \cdot Pr / Da \quad (8)$$

197 N defines the importance of viscous dissipation, $\mu\Phi$, with respect to the fluid energy
 198 transport and entropy generation. For the current geometry and molten salt inlet velocity,
 199 N is on the order of 10^{-21} [31]; thus, viscous heating is negligible.

200 The effective thermal conductivity, k_e , of the molten salt embedded in the rock bed
 201 is given by [20]:

$$202 \quad k_e = k_l \frac{1 + 2\beta\phi + (2\beta^3 - 0.1\beta)\phi^2 + \phi^3 0.05 \exp(4.5\beta)}{1 - \beta\phi} \quad (9)$$

203 where $\phi = 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\beta = (k_s - k_l)/(k_s + 2k_l)$.

204 According to Wakao and Kaguei [32], the interstitial Nusselt number for heat
 205 transfer between the two phases is:

$$206 \quad Nu_i = 6(1-\varepsilon) \left[2 + 1.1 Re_l^{0.6} Pr_l^{1/3} \right] \quad (10)$$

207 where Re_l and Pr_l are the local Reynolds and Prandtl numbers of the molten salt. Then,
 208 the interstitial heat transfer coefficient h_i in Eqs. (6) and (7) is given by

$$209 \quad h_i = Nu_i k_l / d_s^2 \quad (11)$$

210 The thermal energy stored in a thermocline TES tank decreases during the discharge
 211 process, eventually resulting in a decrease of the molten salt exit temperature. An entropy

212 analysis is needed to investigate this phenomenon. The governing equations for the
 213 entropy in the molten salt and the rock were given by Flueckiger and Garimella [31].

$$214 \quad \frac{\partial [\varepsilon \rho_l C_{P,l} \ln(T_l)]}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho_l \mathbf{u} C_{P,l} \ln(T_l)] = -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{T_l} \right) + \frac{\dot{q}'''}{T_l} + \dot{S}_{gen,l}'''' \quad (12)$$

$$215 \quad \frac{\partial [\varepsilon \rho_s C_{P,s} \ln(T_s)]}{\partial t} = \frac{\dot{q}'''}{T_s} + \dot{S}_{gen,s}'''' \quad (13)$$

216 These equations include two source terms, $\dot{S}_{gen,l}''''$ and $\dot{S}_{gen,s}''''$, for the entropy
 217 generation arising from the heat dissipation in each phase, but ignore that caused by the
 218 interfacial heat transfer between the two phases, which leads to underestimates of the
 219 entropy generation. In this paper, the entropy generation due to the interfacial heat
 220 transfer is also included as.

$$221 \quad \dot{S}_{gen,inter}'''' = \frac{\dot{q}'''}{T_l} - \frac{\dot{q}'''}{T_s} \quad (14)$$

222 As indicated by Flueckiger and Garimella [12], the sum, $\dot{S}_{gen,l+s}''''$, of the two source
 223 terms in Eqs. (12) and (13), *i.e.*, $\dot{S}_{gen,l}''''$ and $\dot{S}_{gen,s}''''$, is

$$224 \quad \dot{S}_{gen,l+s}'''' = \frac{k_{eff} (\nabla T)^2}{T_l^2} + \frac{\mu \Phi}{T_l} \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

225 Since $\dot{S}_{gen,l+s}''''$ comes from the thermal diffusion in the molten salt and the rock bed, it is
 226 termed the *diffusion entropy generation*. The total entropy generation, \dot{S}_{gen}'''' , including
 227 the diffusion entropy generation, $\dot{S}_{gen,l+s}''''$, and the interstitial heat transfer entropy
 228 generation, $\dot{S}_{gen,inter}''''$, is then calculated as

229
$$\dot{S}_{gen}''' = \frac{k_{eff}(\nabla T)^2}{T_l^2} + \frac{\mu\Phi}{T_l} + \left(\frac{\dot{q}'''}{T_l} - \frac{\dot{q}'''}{T_s}\right) \geq 0 \quad (16)$$

230 Then, the corresponding exergy loss is readily calculated as

231
$$\dot{X}_{dest}''' = T_0 \cdot \dot{S}_{gen}''' \quad (17)$$

232 where T_0 is the temperature of the reference environment.

233

234 **2.3 Boundary conditions**

235 The boundary conditions at the bottom and top of the tank are as follows.

236 At the bottom, the molten salt flows into the tank:

237
$$u_x = \frac{Q_v}{A_{f,b}}, u_y = 0, T_l = T_c, \text{ for the flow area } A_{f,b} \quad (18)$$

238
$$u_x = u_y = \frac{\partial T_l}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x} = 0, \text{ for the remaining no-flow areas} \quad (19)$$

239 where Q_v is the volumetric flow rate of the molten salt and $A_{f,b}$ is the flow area at the

240 bottom. At the outlet, the salt flows out of the tank with the boundary conditions:

241
$$\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_l}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x} = 0, \text{ for the flow area } A_{f,t} \quad (20)$$

242
$$u_x = u_y = \frac{\partial T_l}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial x} = 0, \text{ for the remaining no-flow areas} \quad (21)$$

243 where $A_{f,t}$ is the flow area at the top.

244 The volume flow rate, Q_v , in Eq. (18) is given by

245
$$Q_v = \frac{P_{CSP}}{\eta_P \rho_{L,C} C_{P,m} (T_H - T_C)} \quad (22)$$

246 where P_{CSP} is the electrical power of the plant with the thermocline TES tank, η_p is the
247 thermal to electrical conversion efficiency, $\rho_{\text{L,C}}$ is the molten salt density at the low
248 temperature, $C_{\text{p,m}}$ is the molten salt specific heat, T_{H} is the high temperature (450 °C) and
249 T_{C} is the low temperature (200 °C).

250

As in Ref. [10], the tank wall is assumed to be well insulated with no flow slipping,

251

i.e.,

$$252 \quad u_x = u_y = \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_l}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_s}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (23)$$

253 **2.4 Flow non-uniformity**

254 The molten salt flow in a thermocline TES tank is affected by the flow distributions
255 at the bottom and top of the tank. Since the bottom and top are both circular planes, the
256 flow distribution can be divided into two components, in radial and in azimuthal
257 directions. A flow annulus is used to indicate the radial distribution with flow through the
258 flow annulus at the bottom and top of the tank and no flow in the remaining area. The
259 flow annulus geometry is described by parameters P and D , where P denotes the
260 percentage of the annular area relative to the total bottom/top area and D is the inner
261 radius of the annulus indicating the distance towards center. The flow annulus used here
262 represents the radial flow distribution that results from the diffuser configuration. Both
263 the radial disk diffuser and the octagonal tube diffuser can be regarded as consisting of
264 multiple annuli as shown in Fig. 3. If some of the annuli are blocked, the flow will be
265 non-uniformed in the radial direction. The annulus has different locations as D changes as
266 shown in Figs. 4a-c and reduces to a circle when D is zero. The azimuthal non-uniform

267 flow treated in this paper is represented by a non-concentric circle, as shown in Fig. 4d.
268 Table 1 shows the geometric details and operation parameters of thermocline TES tanks
269 with various flow distributions. A total of 8 cases are investigated to show the influence
270 of the flow distribution on the thermal performance of thermocline TES tanks.

271 **2.5 Solution**

272 Equations (4)-(7) were solved using the double precision solver of the commercial
273 CFD software FLUENT 12.0.16 [33]. The axisymmetric flow cases with radial flow
274 uniformity were solved using the 2D axisymmetric solver while cases with azimuthal
275 non-uniformed flow were solved using the 3D solver due to the non-axisymmetric flow.
276 The thermocline TES tank was discretized into 37340 cells (2D) and 112450 cells (3D)
277 with the finite volume method used to solve Eqs. (4)-(7). The convection terms were
278 discretized with the second-order [12] upwind method. The transient terms were
279 discretized with a first-order implicit formulation [9]. The PISO algorithm was used for
280 the pressure-momentum coupling [33]. An adaptive time step was used to capture the
281 different stages of the flow into the tank. The time step, Δt , was initially set to 0.01 s and
282 then gradually increased to 4 s as the thermocline formed and kept at 4 s until the end of
283 the calculation. The time independence of the results was checked using variant time
284 steps increasing from 0.001 s to 1 s, with deviations in the outflow temperature of less
285 than 0.1%. The grid independence was checked by comparing the results to those with
286 larger meshes of 73300 cells (2D) and 163730 cells (3D) with differences of less than
287 0.6%. The calculation was regarded as converged when all the non-dimensional residuals
288 dropped below 10^{-3} .